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Introduction: Target restoration of joint mechanics is our goal.

Goal:
The goal of our study was to assess the role that rotation of the femur plays in changing the magnitude of femoral offset measured in a cadaver femur model. We hypothesized that traditional AP radiographs taken in neutral, 20 degrees of internal rotation, and 20 degrees of external rotation would alter femoral offset distance. Offset was measured as the horizontal distance between the center of the femoral head and the proximal border of the greater trochanter in millimeters.

Materials and Methods:
Ten non-arthritic cadaveric femurs were imaged using standard AP radiographs with each femur in neutral, 20 degrees of external rotation, and 20 degrees of internal rotation. A Steinmann pin was placed centrally through the lateral femoral head cortex using a Wright Medical Hemi-Resurfacing Guide to assist with proper placement of internal rotation. A Steinmann pin was placed centrally through the lateral femoral head and neck because of positioning error and/or limited range of motion. If the hip is externally rotated, a position commonly seen in osteoarthritic hips may or may not represent a true AP of the proximal femur and diaphysis because of positioning error and/or limited range of motion. If the hip is externally rotated, a position commonly seen in osteoarthritic hips may or may not represent a true AP of the proximal femur and diaphysis because of positioning error and/or limited range of motion.

Offset was measured by four senior level orthopaedic residents and three orthopaedic surgery faculty members.

Source of Funding:
By internal resources and research grant from JISRF.

Discussion:
Numerous authors demonstrate the need to restore femoral offset as a primary goal in THA (2,4,5). Lack of proper offset leads to soft tissue laxity as well as increased risk of impingement and dislocation (6,7,8). Concerns about dislocation, impingement, leg length discrepancy, increased forces at the hip, lateral hip pain, and polyethylene wear have led to the development of implants with a wide variety of offset options (4,9,10). Templating is challenging even under the most optimal x-ray conditions since the surgeon is using two-dimensional x-rays to assess a three-dimensional femoral head and neck. Rotation of the femur compromises the bony projection on the x-ray negatively affecting the preoperative templating (Scheerlinck T. Primary hip arthroplasty templating on standard radiographs. The design of the present study was to assess the role of rotation of the femur in the radiographic measurement of offset. We found a statistically significant difference at all three angles of rotation when seven trained orthopaedic specialists used a standard measurement technique to quantify femoral offset. In addition, we also measured the length of a Steinmann pin placed centrally through the lateral femoral head cortex at all three angles as a means of controlling and also found these measurements to be statistically different. Variation in offset can be caused in four ways: variation from bone to bone, variation from rater to rater, due to the nature of rotation and due to random error. We found no meaningful (statistically significant) inter rater variation when bones were analyzed in the neutral position (p=0.13) or in the externally rotated position (p=0.08). In the internally rotated position, statistically significant (p=0.001) inter rater variation was seen. In the osteoarthritic patient the femoral head position tends to be in external rotation. Traditional radiographs taken with the foot perpendicular to the cassette underestimate offset due to the position of the femoral head with respect to the image beam. Our data clearly supports this often held opinion. Mean differences between ER, neutral and IR were 4 and 7 mm less respectively which shows that an underestimation of femoral offset in preoperative THA templating of radiographs occurs, especially in patients whose arthritis fixes the femur in external rotation.

Statistical Methods:
A general linear model (GLM) was used to analyze variation in raters and rotation between neutral, 20 degrees of internal rotation (IR), and 20 degrees of external rotation (ER). Offset was chosen as the dependent variable. The independent variables were bone number (1-10), rater (1-7), and position (IR, ER). The a priori alpha level was set at p=0.001.

Results:
Table 1 displays the mean offset and standard deviation values between the three rotational positions.

The GLM comparisons showed significant variation across bones, raters, and positions. The adjusted mean offset measurements (and 95% confidence intervals) for neutral, internal and external rotations were 23.6 mm (23.3-23.9), 26.0 mm (25.7-26.3), and 19.1 mm (18.8-19.4) respectively. Each of the adjusted position means was statistically different from the other. The fact that there was interaction present between rater and position can be interpreted to mean that the difference between IR and neutral positions was significant for some raters, but not for all when a comparison was made between IR and neutral. For all raters ER was significantly different from IR and neutral positions. In the neutral position most of the variation was explained by variation from bone to bone (p<0.0001), and the variation from rater to rater was not statistically different (p=0.13).

ANOVA for IR found most of the variation from bone to bone (p=0.001), however variation among raters was also statistically different (p<0.0001). The reason for this difference between raters cannot be explained. ANOVA for external rotation found most of the variation from bone to bone (p<0.001) while the variation between raters was not statistically different (p=0.08). When rater variability was controlled, significant differences between the femoral positions continued to exist. This was done by measuring pin length in the three views. The difference between these lengths was statistically different (p<0.001).

Discussion:
Numerous authors demonstrate the need to restore femoral offset as a primary goal in THA (2,4,5). Lack of proper offset leads to soft tissue laxity as well as increased risk of impingement and dislocation (6,7,8). Concerns about dislocation, impingement, leg length discrepancy, increased forces at the hip, lateral hip pain, and polyethylene wear have led to the development of implants with a wide variety of offset options (4,9,10). Templating is challenging even under the most optimal x-ray conditions since the surgeon is using two-dimensional x-rays to assess a three-dimensional femoral head and neck. Rotation of the femur compromises the bony projection on the x-ray negatively affecting the preoperative templating (Scheerlinck T. Primary hip arthroplasty templating on standard radiographs. The design of the present study was to assess the role of rotation of the femur in the radiographic measurement of offset. We found a statistically significant difference at all three angles of rotation when seven trained orthopaedic specialists used a standard measurement technique to quantify femoral offset. In addition, we also measured the length of a Steinmann pin placed centrally through the lateral femoral head cortex at all three angles as a means of controlling and also found these measurements to be statistically different. Variation in offset can be caused in four ways: variation from bone to bone, variation from rater to rater, due to the nature of rotation and due to random error. We found no meaningful (statistically significant) inter rater variation when bones were analyzed in the neutral position (p=0.13) or in the externally rotated position (p=0.08). In the internally rotated position, statistically significant (p=0.001) inter rater variation was seen. In the osteoarthritic patient the femoral head position tends to be in external rotation. Traditional radiographs taken with the foot perpendicular to the cassette underestimate offset due to the position of the femoral head with respect to the image beam. Our data clearly supports this often held opinion. Mean differences between ER, neutral and IR were 4 and 7 mm less respectively which shows that an underestimation of femoral offset in preoperative THA templating of radiographs occurs, especially in patients whose arthritis fixes the femur in external rotation.

Source of Funding:
By internal resources and research grant from JISRF.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Mean Offset</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>23.6 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>26.0 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>19.1 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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